

Jordan–Kronecker invariants of Lie algebra representations and degrees of invariant polynomials

Alexey Bolsinov¹ and Ivan Kozlov²

¹ School of Mathematics, Loughborough University, LE11 3TU, UK
e-mail: A.Bolsinov@lboro.ac.uk

² Dept. of Mechanics and Mathematics, Moscow State University,
Moscow, 119991, Russia, e-mail: ikozlov90@gmail.com

July 9, 2014

1 Introduction

The idea used in this paper appeared in the theory of bi-Hamiltonian systems when it was discovered that the algebraic structure of a pair of compatible Poisson brackets $\{ , \}_0$ and $\{ , \}_1$ essentially affects the differential geometry of the pencil $\{ , \}_0 + \lambda \{ , \}_1$ and even the dynamical properties, e.g. stability, of bi-Hamiltonian systems related to it (see [17, 18, 20, 13, 4, 3]). This observation has recently been used in [5] to introduce Jordan–Kronecker invariants for a finite-dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, which are directly related to a natural pencil of compatible Poisson brackets on $\mathfrak{g}^*$. From the algebraic viewpoint, this construction is based on a simple fact that every element $x \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ defines a natural skew symmetric bilinear form $\mathcal{A}_x(\xi, \eta) = \langle x, [\xi, \eta] \rangle$ on $\mathfrak{g}$. The Jordan–Kronecker invariant of $\mathfrak{g}$ is, by definition, the algebraic type of the pencil of forms $\mathcal{A}_{x+\lambda a}$ for a generic pair $(x, a) \in \mathfrak{g}^* \times \mathfrak{g}^*$. All possible algebraic types are described by the Jordan–Kronecker theorem on a canonical form of a pencil of skew-symmetric matrices ([16, 8]) and, in each dimension, there are only finitely many of them.

In the present paper, this construction will be transferred to arbitrary finite-dimensional representations of finite-dimensional Lie algebras. We will show how the classical theorem on a canonical form of a pair of linear maps can be applied in the study of Lie algebra representations.

Namely, let $\rho : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ be a linear representation of a finite-dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ on a finite-dimensional vector space V . With this representation and an arbitrary element $x \in V$ we can naturally assign the operator $R_x : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow V$ defined by $R_x(\xi) = \rho(\xi)x$. Consider a pair of such operators R_x, R_a and the pencil $R_x + \lambda R_a = R_{x+\lambda a}$ generated by them. It is well known that such a pencil can be completely characterised by a collection of quite simple invariants: elementary divisors and minimal indices (see Section 2 for details). We will show how some important and interesting properties of ρ are related with and can be derived from the invariants of such a pencil $R_{x+\lambda a}$ generated by a generic pair $(x, a) \in V \times V$.

2 Canonical form of a pair of linear maps

In this section, we briefly remind some results from [8] on a canonical form of a pair of linear maps. We state the main theorem in matrix form which is convenient for further considerations.

THEOREM 1. *Consider two vector spaces U and V over an algebraically closed field $\mathbb{K}$ of characteristic zero. Then for every two linear maps $A, B : U \rightarrow V$ there are bases in U and V in which the matrices of the pencil $\mathcal{P} = \{A + \lambda B\}$ have the following block-diagonal form:*

$$A + \lambda B = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{g,h} & & & \\ & A_2 + \lambda B_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & A_k + \lambda B_k \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1)$$

where $0_{g,h}$ is the zero $g \times h$ -matrix and each pair of the corresponding blocks A_i and B_i takes one of the following forms:

1. *Jordan block with eigenvalue $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{K}$*

$$A_i = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_0 & 1 & & \\ & \lambda_0 & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & 1 \\ & & & \lambda_0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_i = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

2. *Jordan block with eigenvalue ∞*

$$A_i = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & \\ & 0 & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & 1 \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

3. *Horizontal Kronecker block*

$$A_i = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

4. *Vertical Kronecker block*

$$A_i = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ 0 & \ddots & & \\ & \ddots & 1 & \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & \\ 1 & \ddots & & \\ & \ddots & 0 & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The number and types of blocks in the decomposition (1) are uniquely defined up to permutation.

It is convenient to formally assume that the zero block $0_{g,h}$ is a block-diagonal “sum” of g vertical Kronecker blocks of size 1×0 and h horizontal Kronecker blocks of size 0×1 . The *minimal column indices* $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_p$ of $\mathcal{P} = \{A + \lambda B\}$ are defined to be the numbers of rows in each of the horizontal Kronecker blocks and, similarly, the *minimal row indices* $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_q$ are the numbers of columns in each of vertical Kronecker blocks. In particular, the first g minimal row indices and first h minimal column indices equal zero.

For the sequel, it is important to have a description of the “ingredients” of the canonical form (1) in invariant terms.

COROLLARY 1. *Let $r = \max_{\lambda \in \mathbb{K}} \text{rk}(A + \lambda B)$ be the rank of the pencil $\mathcal{P} = \{A + \lambda B\}$. Then*

- 1) *the number p of minimal column indices (or equivalently, the number of horizontal Kronecker blocks) is equal to $\dim U - r$,*
- 2) *the number q of minimal row indices (or equivalently, the number of vertical Kronecker blocks) is equal to $\dim V - r$.*

In other words, $p = \dim \text{Ker}(A + \lambda B)$ and $q = \dim \text{Ker}(A + \lambda B)^*$ for generic $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$.

The eigenvalues of Jordan blocks from the canonical decomposition (1) can be described with a help of the *characteristic polynomial* $D_r(\lambda, \mu)$ that is defined as the greatest common divisor of all the $r \times r$ minors of the matrix $\mu A + \lambda B$, where λ and μ are viewed as formal variables and $r = \text{rk } \mathcal{P}$. Notice that the polynomial $D_r(\lambda, \mu)$ does not depend on the choice of bases and therefore is an invariant of the pencil. It is easy to see that $D_r(\lambda, \mu)$ is the product of characteristic polynomials of all the Jordan blocks. These polynomials, in turn, are called *elementary divisors* of the pencil and also admit a natural invariant interpretation, see [8] for details.

COROLLARY 2. *The eigenvalues of the Jordan blocks can be characterised as those $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$ for which the rank of $A - \lambda B$ drops, i.e. $\text{rk}(A - \lambda B) < r = \text{rk } \mathcal{P}$. The infinite eigenvalue appears in the case when $\text{rk } B < r$.*

If we consider $(\lambda : \mu)$ as a point of the projective line $\mathbb{K}P^1 = \mathbb{K} + \{\infty\}$, then the eigenvalues of Jordan blocks (with multiplicities) are the roots of the characteristic equation $D_r(-\lambda, \mu) = 0$.

Jordan blocks are absent if and only if the non-trivial linear combinations $\mu A + \lambda B$ are all of the same rank.

Notice that the horizontal Kronecker blocks are of size $\varepsilon_i \times (\varepsilon_i + 1)$ and vertical ones are of size $(\eta_j + 1) \times \eta_j$. For a pencil $\mathcal{P} = \{A + \lambda B\}$, it will be convenient to introduce the following notions.

DEFINITION 1. The total number of columns in horizontal blocks $k_{\text{hor}} = \sum (\varepsilon_i + 1)$ is said to be the *total Kronecker h -index* of the pencil $\mathcal{P}$. Similarly, the total number of rows in the vertical Kronecker blocks $k_{\text{vert}} = \sum (\eta_j + 1)$ is said to be the *total Kronecker v -index* of $\mathcal{P}$.

Notice that

$$k_{\text{vert}} + k_{\text{hor}} = \dim V + \dim U - \text{rk } P - \deg D_r. \quad (2)$$

The numbers k_{vert} and k_{hor} admit the following invariant description. Let us choose in the pencil $\mathcal{P} = \{A + \lambda B\}$ sufficiently many operators of rank $r = \text{rk } \mathcal{P}$ (as we know, for some λ 's the rank may drop; such operators are ignored):

$$A_s = A + \lambda_s B, \quad s = 1, \dots, N.$$

Consider the subspaces

$$L_{\text{hor}} = \sum_{s=1}^N \text{Ker } A_s \subset U \quad \text{and} \quad L_{\text{vert}} = \sum_{s=1}^N \text{Ker } A_s^* \subset V^*.$$

These subspaces are defined by the pencil itself and therefore can be considered as its natural invariants.

PROPOSITION 1. *The subspaces $L_{\text{hor}} \subset U$ and $L_{\text{vert}} \subset V^*$ are well-defined in the sense that they do not depend of the choice of $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N$. Moreover,*

$$\dim L_{\text{hor}} = k_{\text{hor}} \quad \text{and} \quad \dim L_{\text{vert}} = k_{\text{vert}}.$$

Proof. Straightforward verification for the pencil written in canonical form (1). $\square$

Notice that L_{hor} and L_{vert} can also be defined as $L_{\text{hor}} = \sum_{\lambda} \text{Ker } (A + \lambda B)$ and $L_{\text{vert}} = \sum_{\lambda} \text{Ker } (A^* + \lambda B^*)$ where the sum is taken over all $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $\text{rk } (A + \lambda B) = \text{rk } \mathcal{P}$.

In what follows, it would be useful to understand the behaviour of Kronecker indices under a continuous deformation of a pencil $\mathcal{P}$. A complete answer to this question can be derived from [7]. Here we discuss one particular case only, assuming for simplicity that $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ (although the statement remains true for an arbitrary field of characteristic zero if we appropriately adapt the idea of “continuity”).

PROPOSITION 2. *Let $\mathcal{P}(t) = \{A(t) + \lambda B(t)\}$ be a continuous deformation of a pencil of complex matrices (operators from U to V) which leaves unchanged the rank of the pencil $r = \text{rk } \mathcal{P}(t)$ and the degree of the characteristic polynomial D_r . Then under such a deformation, the numbers k_{vert} and k_{hor} remain constant too.*

Proof. Consider the subspace $L_{\text{vert}}(t)$ which now depends on t . Without loss of generality, we may assume that in a neighbourhood of $t = t_0$ the rank of $A(t) + \lambda_s B(t)$ equals r not only for all λ_s , but also for all t (clearly, if this condition holds true for t_0 , then by continuity it is automatically fulfilled for all t sufficiently close to t_0). Then all the subspaces $\text{Ker } A_s(t)$ have the same dimension and continuously depend on t . As for the sum $L_{\text{vert}}(t)$ of these subspaces, it changes continuously too unless for some t its dimension drops. Speaking more formally, $\dim L_{\text{vert}}(t)$ is upper semi-continuous as a function of t . Thus, according to Proposition 1, we conclude that $k_{\text{vert}}(t)$ is upper semi-continuous. By the same reason, the function $k_{\text{hor}}(t)$ is upper semi-continuous too.

It remains to notice that in view of (2), the sum $k_{\text{vert}}(t) + k_{\text{hor}}(t)$ is constant. This immediately implies that in fact $k_{\text{vert}}(t)$ and $k_{\text{hor}}(t)$ are both continuous and, therefore, constant (as $k_{\text{vert}}(t)$ and $k_{\text{hor}}(t)$ are integer numbers). $\square$

Finally, we will need one statement which, in a way, explain the nature of minimal indices and, in particular, explains in what sense these indices are *minimal*.

Let A be regular in a pencil $\mathcal{P} = \{A + \lambda B\}$, i.e. $\text{rk } A = \text{rk } \mathcal{P}$. The first observation is that for every $v_0 \in \text{Ker } A$ there exists a sequence of vectors $\{v_j \in U\}$, finite or infinite, such that the expression $v(\lambda) = \sum_{j=0}^r v_j \lambda^j$ is a formal solution of the equation

$$(A + \lambda B)v(\lambda) = 0. \tag{3}$$

For an infinite sequence we set $r = \infty$. The following statement easily follows from analysing the pencil $\mathcal{P}$ written in canonical form.

PROPOSITION 3. Let $\varepsilon_1 \leq \varepsilon_2 \leq \dots \leq \varepsilon_p$ be the minimal column indices of $\mathcal{P} = \{A + \lambda B\}$ and $A \in \mathcal{P}$ be regular. Suppose the expressions

$$v_\alpha(\lambda) = \sum_{j=0}^{r_\alpha} v_{\alpha,j} \lambda^j, \quad \text{where } v_{\alpha,j} \in U, \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, p,$$

are formal solutions of (3) such that their initial vectors $v_\alpha(0) = v_{\alpha,0}$ form a basis of $\text{Ker } A$, and the numbers $r_\alpha = \deg v_\alpha(\lambda)$ are ordered so that $r_1 \leq r_2 \leq \dots \leq r_p$. Then

- 1) $r_\alpha \geq \varepsilon_\alpha$ for $\alpha = 1, \dots, p$,
- 2) the linear span of all $v_{\alpha,j}$ coincides with the subspace $L_{\text{hor}} \subset U$.

REMARK 1. A similar statement is, of course, fulfilled for the minimal row indices. Also notice that the estimate $r_\alpha \geq \varepsilon_\alpha$ still holds true in the case when the initial vectors $v_{\alpha,0} \in \text{Ker } A$ are linearly independent but do not span the whole kernel $\text{Ker } A$, i.e. when $\alpha = 1, \dots, m < p = \dim \text{Ker } A$.

REMARK 2. Using the canonical form (1) from Theorem 1, it is easy to construct $v_\alpha(\lambda)$ satisfying the conditions of Proposition 3 and such that $r_\alpha = \varepsilon_\alpha$ (it is sufficient to do it for each horizontal block separately). This property can be taken as an invariant definition of minimal indices $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_p$, see [8] for details.

3 Finite-dimensional representations of Lie algebras and operators R_x

In what follows, all vector spaces, Lie algebras and other algebraic objects are supposed to be complex, i.e., defined over $\mathbb{C}$, although all the results can naturally be transferred to the case of an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero.

Consider a finite-dimensional linear representation $\rho : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{gl}(V)$ of a finite-dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. To each point $x \in V$, the representation ρ assigns a linear operator $R_x : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow V$, $R_x(\xi) = \rho(\xi)x \in V$. Since the mapping $x \mapsto R_x$ is in essence equivalent to ρ , many natural algebraic objects related to ρ can be defined in terms of R_x .

For example, the stabiliser of $x \in V$ can be defined as

$$\text{St}_x = \text{Ker } R_x = \{\xi \in \mathfrak{g} \mid R_x(\xi) = \rho(\xi)x = 0\} \subset \mathfrak{g}.$$

A point $a \in V$ is called *regular*, if

$$\dim \text{St}_a \leq \dim \text{St}_x, \quad \text{for all } x \in V.$$

Those point which are not regular are called *singular*. The set of singular points will be denoted by $\text{Sing} \subset V$. In terms of R_x we have

$$\text{Sing} = \{y \in V \mid \text{rk } R_y < r = \max_{x \in V} \text{rk } R_x\}.$$

The dimension of the stabiliser of a regular point is a natural characteristic of ρ and we will denote it by $\dim \text{St}_{\text{reg}}$. Though in our paper we never use the action of the Lie group G associated with the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, it will be convenient to “keep in mind” the action and its orbits. We will need, however, not the orbits themselves but

their dimensions only. In particular, for the dimension of a regular orbit we will use the notation $\dim \mathcal{O}_{\text{reg}}$. Notice by the way that

$$T_x \mathcal{O}_x = \text{Im } R_x \quad \text{and} \quad \dim \mathcal{O}_x = \text{rk } R_x.$$

A (complex analytic) function $f(x) : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an invariant of a representation $\rho : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ if and only if its differential $df(x) \in V^*$ satisfies the system of equations:

$$R_x^*(df(x)) = 0, \quad \text{for all } x \in V. \quad (4)$$

The algebra of polynomial invariants of ρ will be denoted by $\mathbb{C}[V]^{\mathfrak{g}}$. Notice that ρ may admit no polynomial (and even no rational) invariants at all. However, in a neighbourhood of a regular point there always exist $q = \text{codim } \mathcal{O}_{\text{reg}}$ independent analytic invariants.

Formally substituting $x \mapsto a + \lambda x$ in (4), we get the following

PROPOSITION 4. *Let $f(x)$ be a (locally analytic) invariant of $\rho : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$. Consider the expansion of $f(a + \lambda x)$ into powers of λ :*

$$f(a + \lambda x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda^j g_j(x),$$

where $g_j(x)$ are homogeneous polynomials of degree j . Then the gradients dg_j satisfy the formal equation

$$(R_a + \lambda R_x)^* \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda^j dg_j(x) = 0, \quad dg_j(x) \in V^*. \quad (5)$$

This statement motivates the following definition.

A formal power series $G = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda^j g_j(x)$, where $g_j(x)$ is a homogeneous polynomial in x of degree j , is called a *formal invariant* of the representation ρ at a regular point $a \in V$ if it satisfies the formal identity (5). Some properties of formal invariants are discussed in [6, 2].

By analogy with Mischenko–Fomenko subalgebras (see. [11, 1, 6]), we consider the subalgebra $\mathcal{F}_a \subset \mathbb{C}[V]$ generated by the homogeneous components $g_{\alpha,j}$ of formal invariants $G_{\alpha} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda^j g_{\alpha,j}(x)$ at point $a \in V$ whose linear terms $g_{\alpha,1}$ form a basis of $\text{Ker } R_a^* = (T_a \mathcal{O}_a)^{\perp}$. It is natural to call such a set of formal invariants $G_1, \dots, G_q$, $q = \text{codim } \mathcal{O}_{\text{reg}}$, *complete* or even a *basis* of the space of formal invariants. Notice that $\mathcal{F}_a$ does not depend on the choice of a complete set of formal invariants (see [2]).

If ρ admits a complete set of polynomial invariants, i.e. $\text{tr.deg. } \mathbb{C}[V]^{\mathfrak{g}} = \text{codim } \mathcal{O}_{\text{reg}}$, then formal invariants are not necessary. In this case, instead of $\mathcal{F}_a$ one can consider the subalgebra $Y_a \subset \mathbb{C}[V]$ generated by the polynomials of the form $f(x + \lambda a)$, where $f \in \mathbb{C}[V]^{\mathfrak{g}}$ (sf. [12, 15, 9]). The subalgebras $\mathcal{F}_a$ and Y_a are closely related to each other. In particular, if the differentials $df(a)$, $f \in \mathbb{C}[V]^{\mathfrak{g}}$ span the subspace $\text{Ker } R_a^* = (T_a \mathcal{O}_a)^{\perp}$, then $\mathcal{F}_a$ and Y_a coincide. In the case of the coadjoint representation $\rho = \text{ad}^*$ these subalgebras are commutative with respect to the natural Lie-Poisson bracket on $\mathfrak{g}^*$ ([11]) and this remarkable property explains the role which Mischenko-Fomenko subalgebras play in the theory of Lie algebras and integrable systems.

4 Jordan–Kronecker invariants of Lie algebra representations

Let, as above, $\rho : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ be a finite-dimensional representation of a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. To each $x \in V$ we assign a linear operator $R_x : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow V$ and consider the pencils of such operators generated by a pair of vectors $a, x \in V$.

By the *algebraic type* of a pencil $R_x + \lambda R_a = R_{x+\lambda a}$, we will understand the following collection of discrete invariants:

- the number of distinct eigenvalues of Jordan blocks,
- the number and sizes of the Jordan blocks associated with each eigenvalue,
- minimal row and column indices.

PROPOSITION 5. *The algebraic type of a pencil $R_x + \lambda R_a$ does not change under replacing x and a with any linearly independent combinations of them $x' = \alpha x + \beta a$ and $a' = \gamma x + \delta a$.*

In other words, the type characterises two-dimensional subspaces in V or, which is the same, one-dimensional subspaces (complex lines) in the projectivisation of V .

Since the number of different algebraic types is finite, it is easily seen that in the space $V \times V$ there exists a non-empty Zariski open subset of pairs (x, a) for which the algebraic type of the pencil $R_{x+\lambda a}$ will be one and the same.

DEFINITION 2. A pair $(x, a) \in V \times V$ from this subspace and the corresponding pencil $R_{x+\lambda a}$ will be called *generic*.

DEFINITION 3. *The Jordan–Kronecker invariant of ρ is the algebraic type of a generic pencil $R_{x+\lambda a}$.*

In particular, minimal column and row indices of a generic pencil will be denoted by $\varepsilon_1(\rho), \dots, \varepsilon_p(\rho)$ and $\eta_1(\rho), \dots, \eta_q(\rho)$ and will be called *minimal column and row indices* of the representation ρ .

5 Main results

All the results below are straightforward corollaries of general properties of pencils of linear operators presented in Section 2. As before, we consider an arbitrary finite-dimensional representation $\rho : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ of a finite-dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$.

PROPOSITION 6. 1) *the number p of minimal row indices of ρ is equal to $\dim \text{St}_{\text{reg}}$;*
2) *the number q of minimal column indices of ρ is equal to $\text{codim } \mathcal{O}_{\text{reg}}$.*

Proof. It is sufficient to recall that $\text{rk } R_{x+\lambda a} = \dim \mathcal{O}_{x+\lambda a}$ and use Corollary 1. $\square$

PROPOSITION 7. *A generic pencil $R_x + \lambda R_a$ has no Jordan blocks if and only if the codimension of the singular set Sing is greater or equal than 2.*

Proof. According to Corollary 2, a generic pencil $R_x + \lambda R_a$ has no Jordan blocks if and only if all these operators are of the same rank, i.e. a generic line $x + \lambda a$ does not intersect the singular set Sing . Clearly, the latter condition is fulfilled if and only if $\text{codim } \text{Sing} \geq 2$. $\square$

Let us discuss the case $\text{codim Sing} = 1$ in more detail. This will give us some understanding of the “Jordan part” in the canonical decomposition of a generic pencil $R_{x+\lambda a}$.

Consider the matrix of the operator R_x and take all of its minors of size $r \times r$, $r = \dim \mathcal{O}_{\text{reg}}$ that do not vanish identically (such minors certainly exist). We consider them as polynomials $p_1(x), \dots, p_N(x)$ on V . The singular set $\text{Sing} \subset V$ is then given by the system of polynomial equations

$$p_i(x) = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

This set is of codimension one if and only if these polynomials possess a non-trivial greatest common divisor which we denote by $\mathbf{p}_\rho$.

Thus, we have $p_i(x) = \mathbf{p}_\rho(x)h_i(x)$, which implies that the singular set Sing can be represented as the union of two subsets:

$$\text{Sing}_0 = \{\mathbf{p}_\rho(x) = 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Sing}_1 = \{h_i(x) = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, N\}$$

It is easy to see that $\mathbf{p}_\rho(x)$ is a semi-invariant of the representation ρ . This follows from the fact that under the action of G the singular set Sing_0 remains invariant and therefore $\mathbf{p}_\rho$ might only be changed by multiplying it with a constant factor. We will refer to this polynomial $\mathbf{p}_\rho$ as the *fundamental semi-invariant* of ρ . Taking into account Corollary 2, we immediately get

PROPOSITION 8. *If $a \in V$ is regular, then the eigenvalues of Jordan blocks of a pencil $R_{x+\lambda a}$ are those values of $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ for which the line $x - \lambda a$ intersects the singular set Sing . The degree of the fundamental semi-invariant $\mathbf{p}_\rho$ is equal to the sum of sizes of all Jordan blocks for a generic pencil $R_{x+\lambda a}$.*

Following Section 2, for an arbitrary pencil $R_{x+\lambda a}$ we define the numbers $\mathbf{k}_{\text{vert}}(x, a)$ and $\mathbf{k}_{\text{hor}}(x, a)$. These numbers computed for a generic pair (x, a) are invariants of the representation ρ . We denote them $\mathbf{k}_{\text{vert}}(\rho)$, $\mathbf{k}_{\text{hor}}(\rho)$ and call the *total Kronecker v -index* and *h -index* of ρ .

REMARK 3. Notice that (sf. (2))

$$\mathbf{k}_{\text{vert}}(\rho) + \mathbf{k}_{\text{hor}}(\rho) = \dim V + \dim \text{St}_{\text{reg}} - \deg \mathbf{p}_\rho = \dim \mathfrak{g} + \text{codim } \mathcal{O}_{\text{reg}} - \deg \mathbf{p}_\rho. \quad (6)$$

In some cases this formula simplifies. For instance, if $\text{St}_{\text{reg}} = \{0\}$, i.e. the stabiliser of a regular point is trivial, then horizontal Kronecker blocks are absent, so we have $\mathbf{k}_{\text{hor}}(\rho) = 0$ and $\mathbf{k}_{\text{vert}}(\rho) = \dim V - \deg \mathbf{p}_\rho$. If in addition $\text{codim Sing} \geq 2$, then $\deg \mathbf{p}_\rho = 0$ and the total Kronecker v -index of ρ is simply $\dim V$.

Similarly, if ρ has an open orbit, i.e. $\text{codim } \mathcal{O}_{\text{reg}} = 0$, then vertical Kronecker blocks are absent and we have $\mathbf{k}_{\text{hor}}(\rho) = \dim \mathfrak{g} - \deg \mathbf{p}_\rho$. If in addition $\text{codim Sing} \geq 2$, then $\mathbf{k}_{\text{hor}}(\rho) = \dim \mathfrak{g}$.

An explicit description of all generic pairs $(x, a) \in V \times V$ seems to be a non-trivial problem. It is even more interesting to understand what happens to the algebraic type of a pencil $R_{x+\lambda a}$, for instance, to the corresponding numbers $\mathbf{k}_{\text{hor}}(x, a)$, $\mathbf{k}_{\text{vert}}(x, a)$, $\deg D_r(x, a)$ under a deformation of (x, a) . In this context, the following result looks quite curious.

PROPOSITION 9. Let $a \in V$ be regular and a line $x + \lambda a$ do not intersect Sing_1 , then

$$\begin{aligned} k_{\text{hor}}(x, a) &= k_{\text{hor}}(\rho), \\ k_{\text{vert}}(x, a) &= k_{\text{vert}}(\rho), \\ \deg D_r(x, a) &= \deg \mathbf{p}_\rho. \end{aligned}$$

REMARK 4. Notice that almost all lines $x + \lambda a$ satisfy assumptions of Proposition 9 (as $\text{codim } \text{Sing}_1 \geq 2$) but these assumptions do not guarantee that (x, a) is generic in the sense of Definition 2.

REMARK 5. In the assumptions of Proposition 9, x and a can be interchanged (see Proposition 5).

Proof. The equality $\deg D_r(x, a) = \deg \mathbf{p}_\rho$ is almost obvious. Indeed, denote by $g(\lambda)$ the greatest common divisor of all $r \times r$ minors of $R_{x+\lambda a}$, $r = \text{rk } \{R_{x+\lambda a}\} = \dim \mathcal{O}_{\text{reg}}$. It is clear that $g(\lambda)$ can be obtained from $D_r(x, a)$ by substituting $\mu = 1$ and the degrees of these polynomials coincide (here it is essential that a is regular). As we know, the greatest common divisor of all $r \times r$ minors of the matrix R_x (viewed as polynomials in x) is the fundamental semi-invariant $\mathbf{p}_\rho(x)$. Therefore $g(\lambda)$ is certainly divisible by $\mathbf{p}_\rho(x + \lambda a)$ (now we consider these polynomials as polynomials in λ). However the degree of g might be greater than that of $\mathbf{p}_\rho$, i.e., there could be a situation when $g(\lambda) = \mathbf{p}_\rho(x + \lambda a)h(\lambda)$, where $h(\lambda)$ is a non-constant polynomial. But this happens if and only if the straight line $x + \lambda a$ intersects Sing_1 (see above the definition of Sing_1). If this is not the case, we get the desired equality:

$$\deg D_r(x, a) = \deg g = \deg \mathbf{p}_\rho.$$

Next consider the total Kronecker indices of $R_{x+\lambda a}$. Let (x_0, a_0) be a generic pair. Without loss of generality we may assume that the line $x_0 + \lambda a_0$ satisfies the conditions of Proposition 9 (in fact, these conditions will be fulfilled automatically). Consider a continuous deformation of the pair (x, a) to the pair (x_0, a_0) . Since the set of pairs satisfying conditions of Proposition 9 is Zariski open and, therefore, pathwise connected, we can realise a desired deformation $x(t), a(t)$ without leaving this set. This implies that the rank of the pencil and degree of the characteristic polynomial $D_r(x(t), a(t))$ remain unchanged under this deformation. Hence in view of Proposition 2, $k_{\text{hor}}(x(t), a(t))$ and $k_{\text{vert}}(x(t), a(t))$ remain constant too, as required. $\square$

In what follows, we consider only such pairs $(x, a) \in V \times V$ for which the plane $\text{span}(x, a) \subset V$ does not belong entirely to the singular set Sing . In particular, the line $x + \lambda a$ (or $a + \lambda x$) meets Sing in at most finitely many points. This condition is equivalent to saying that the rank of the pencil $R_{x+\lambda a}$ is maximal and equals $\dim \mathcal{O}_{\text{reg}}$. The pair (x, a) , however, is not necessarily generic.

Following Section 2, to each pair (x, a) we can assign two subspaces

$$L_{\text{hor}}(x, a) = \sum \text{Ker } R_{x+\lambda a} \subset \mathfrak{g} \quad \text{and} \quad L_{\text{vert}}(x, a) = \sum \text{Ker } R_{x+\lambda a}^* \subset V^*,$$

where the sum is taken over all λ such that $x + \lambda a \notin \text{Sing}$. From Proposition 1 we have

$$\dim L_{\text{hor}}(x, a) = k_{\text{hor}}(x, a) \quad \text{and} \quad \dim L_{\text{vert}}(x, a) = k_{\text{vert}}(x, a). \quad (7)$$

The subspaces L_{hor} and L_{vert} have a natural interpretation in terms of the representation ρ . Let $x \in V$, for definiteness, be regular. Then $\text{Ker } R_{x+\lambda a}$ is the stabiliser of $x + \lambda a$ and we get

$$L_{\text{hor}}(x, a) = \sum \text{St}_{x+\lambda a}, \quad x + \lambda a \notin \text{Sing}. \quad (8)$$

The expression $x + \lambda a$ for small λ can be understood as a variation of x (in the fixed direction defined by a). The stabiliser changes under this variation and $\dim \sum \text{St}_{x+\lambda a}$ shows the “magnitude” of this change. From (7) and Proposition 9, we immediately obtain the following interpretation of k_{hor} .

PROPOSITION 10. *Let $x \in V$ be regular. Then*

$$\dim \sum \text{St}_{x+\lambda a} = k_{\text{hor}}(x, a).$$

If (x, a) is such that $a + \lambda x$ does not intersect Sing_1 (in particular, if (x, a) is generic), then

$$\dim \sum \text{St}_{x+\lambda a} = k_{\text{hor}}(\rho).$$

In a similar way, we can interpret the total Kronecker v -index. The meaning of $R_x^* \subset V^*$ is very simple. This is the annihilator of the tangent space to the orbit $\mathcal{O}_x$ at the point x . By varying x in the direction of a , we obtain a family of such annihilators and take the sum of them. The subspace obtained in such a way can naturally be described in terms of the subalgebra $\mathcal{F}_a$. Namely, if we assume $a \in V$ to be regular, then (see Proposition 3 and definition of $\mathcal{F}_a$ in Section 3):

$$L_{\text{vert}}(x, a) = \text{span}\{dg(x), g \in \mathcal{F}_a\}. \quad (9)$$

This enable us to find the number of algebraically independent polynomials in $\mathcal{F}_a$ (here we use Proposition 9 again).

PROPOSITION 11. *Let $a \in V$ be a regular element. Then*

$$\dim \text{span}\{dg(x), g \in \mathcal{F}_a\} = k_{\text{vert}}(x, a).$$

If (x, a) is such that the line $x + \lambda a$ does not intersect Sing_1 (in particular, if (x, a) is a generic pair), then

$$\dim \text{span}\{dg(x), g \in \mathcal{F}_a\} = k_{\text{vert}}(\rho)$$

and, therefore,

$$\text{tr.deg. } \mathcal{F}_a = k_{\text{vert}}(\rho).$$

If a representation ρ possesses a complete set of polynomial invariants, in other words, $\text{tr.deg. } \mathbb{C}[V]^{\mathfrak{g}} = \text{codim } \mathcal{O}_{\text{reg}}$, then instead of $\mathcal{F}_a$ one usually considers the subalgebra $Y_a \subset \mathbb{C}[V]$ generated by the functions of the form $f(x + \lambda a)$, where $f \in \mathbb{C}[V]^{\mathfrak{g}}$ (see Section 3). The subalgebra Y_a has also another advantage that it is well defined for any element $a \in V$, not necessarily regular. As in the case of $\mathcal{F}_a$, to each point $x \in V$ we can assign the subspace of V^* generated by the differentials of functions $f \in Y_a$. For almost all $x \in V$, this subspace coincides with $L_{\text{vert}}(x, a)$. Namely, the following statement holds.

PROPOSITION 12. *Let $\text{tr.deg. } \mathbb{C}[V]^{\mathfrak{g}} = \text{codim } \mathcal{O}_{\text{reg}}$ and $x \in V$ be a regular element such that $\dim \text{span}\{dg(x), g \in \mathbb{C}[V]^{\mathfrak{g}}\} = \text{codim } \mathcal{O}_x = \text{tr.deg. } \mathbb{C}[V]^{\mathfrak{g}}$. Then*

$$\text{span}\{df(x), f \in Y_a\} = L_{\text{vert}}(x, a), \quad (10)$$

This statement allows us to find the number of algebraically independent polynomials in Y_a (for a being not necessarily regular).

THEOREM 2. *Let $\text{tr.deg. } \mathbb{C}[V]^{\mathfrak{g}} = \text{codim } \mathcal{O}_{\text{reg}}$. Then for each $a \in V$ we have the following estimate*

$$\text{tr.deg. } Y_a \leq k_{\text{vert}}(\rho). \quad (11)$$

For $a \notin \text{Sing}_1$, this inequality becomes an identity.

Proof. In view of Proposition 12, to find $\text{tr.deg. } Y_a$ we only need to estimate the dimension of $L_{\text{vert}}(x, a)$. Since $\dim L_{\text{vert}}(x, a)$ is upper semi-continuous (see the proof of Proposition 2 and formula (7)), we see that $\text{tr.deg. } Y_a$ does not exceed $k_{\text{vert}}(\rho)$ and is equal to this number if the line $x + \lambda a$ does not intersect Sing_1 . However, as we know (see Proposition 5 and Remark 5), x and a can be interchanged. In other words, a sufficient condition is that the line $a + \lambda x$ does not intersect Sing_1 , with $x \in V$ being regular. Since $\text{codim } \text{Sing}_1 \geq 2$, this condition is fulfilled for almost all x (with a fixed) if and only if the point a itself does not belong to Sing_1 . $\square$

REMARK 6. For the coadjoint representation, a similar result was obtained in [9]. In the context of Jordan–Kronecker invariants the main difference between the coadjoint representation ad^* and an arbitrary representation ρ is that, due to skew symmetry of R_x , in the case of ad^* the minimal indices for rows and columns coincide and, in particular, $k_{\text{vert}}(\text{ad}^*) = k_{\text{hor}}(\text{ad}^*)$. Besides, each Jordan block has an even multiplicity, i.e. Jordan blocks occur in the canonical decomposition (1) in pairs. Hence, taking into account (6), we obtain $2k_{\text{vert}}(\text{ad}^*) = k_{\text{vert}}(\text{ad}^*) + k_{\text{hor}}(\text{ad}^*) = \dim \mathfrak{g} + \text{ind } \mathfrak{g} - \deg \mathbf{p}_{\text{ad}^*}$, and our estimate (11) turns into the inequality from [9]:

$$\text{tr.deg. } Y_a \leq \frac{1}{2}(\dim \mathfrak{g} + \text{ind } \mathfrak{g}) - \deg \mathbf{p}_{\mathfrak{g}},$$

where $\mathbf{p}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is the fundamental semi-invariant of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ which is defined in a similar way as $\mathbf{p}_{\text{ad}^*}$ but instead of determinants one should consider the Pfaffians of diagonal minors so that our $\mathbf{p}_{\text{ad}^*}$ coincides with $\mathbf{p}_{\mathfrak{g}}^2$.

Thus, if $a \in V$ is regular, then the number of algebraically independent shifts of invariants, i.e. $\text{tr.deg. } Y_a$, equals $k_{\text{vert}}(\rho)$. This implies, in particular, the following estimate for the sum of degrees of polynomial invariants:

COROLLARY 3. *Let $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_q$, $q = \text{codim } \mathcal{O}_{\text{reg}}$, be algebraically independent invariant polynomials of ρ . Then*

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^q \deg f_{\alpha} \geq k_{\text{vert}}(\rho). \quad (12)$$

Taking into account Remark 3, we get

COROLLARY 4. *Let $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_q$, $q = \text{codim } \mathcal{O}_{\text{reg}}$, be algebraically independent invariant polynomials of ρ . Suppose that the stabiliser of a regular point is trivial, i.e. $\text{St}_{\text{reg}} = \{0\}$. Then*

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^q \deg f_{\alpha} \geq \dim V - \deg \mathbf{p}_{\rho}. \quad (13)$$

Moreover, if in addition $\text{codim Sing} \geq 2$, then

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^q \deg f_{\alpha} \geq \dim V. \quad (14)$$

It is interesting to compare (14) with a similar estimate obtained by F. Knop and P. Littelmann [10].

In the case when inequality (12) (or (13) and (14) provided the assumptions of Corollary 4 are satisfied) becomes an identity, we obtain another interesting corollary that resembles one of results by D.Panyushev (Theorem 1.2. in [14]) proved for $\rho = \text{ad}^*$.

PROPOSITION 13. *Let $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_q$, $q = \text{codim } \mathcal{O}_{\text{reg}}$, be algebraically independent homogeneous invariant polynomials of ρ satisfying the condition*

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^q \deg f_{\alpha} = \mathbf{k}_{\text{vert}}(\rho)$$

Then at every point $x \notin \text{Sing}_1$, their differentials $df_1(x), df_2(x), \dots, df_q(x)$ are linearly independent (in particular, they are independent at every regular point $x \in V$).

Proof. Let $x \notin \text{Sing}_1$. Consider a regular point $a \in V$ at which the differentials $df_1(a), df_2(a), \dots, df_q(a)$ are linearly independent and such that the line $x + \lambda a$ does not intersect the set Sing_1 . Consider the expansions of $f_{\alpha}(a + \lambda x)$ into powers of λ :

$$f_{\alpha}(a + \lambda x) = f_{\alpha,0}(a) + \lambda f_{\alpha,1}(x) + \lambda^2 f_{\alpha,2}(x) + \dots + \lambda^{m_{\alpha}} f_{\alpha,m_{\alpha}}(x), \quad m_{\alpha} = \deg f_{\alpha}.$$

The polynomials $f_{\alpha,k}$, $\alpha = 1, \dots, q$, $k = 1, \dots, m_{\alpha}$, generate the subalgebra $\mathcal{F}_a$. Moreover, the total number of these polynomials is exactly $\sum \deg f_{\alpha}$ and $f_{1,m_1}(x), \dots, f_{q,m_q}(x)$ coincide with our invariant polynomials $f_1(x), \dots, f_q(x)$.

According to Proposition 11

$$\dim \text{span}\{df_{\alpha,k}(x)\} = \mathbf{k}_{\text{vert}}(\rho) = \sum \deg f_{\alpha}.$$

It follows from this that the vectors $df_{\alpha,k}(x)$ are linearly independent at the point x . Hence, being a subset, the vectors $df_{1,m_1}(x) = df_1(x), \dots, df_{q,m_q}(x) = df_q(x)$ are linearly independent too, as needed. $\square$

Another general result, which illustrates the relationship between the minimal indices of ρ with the degrees of invariant polynomials, is the following estimate. In the case of the coadjoint representation it was obtained by A. Vorontsov [19].

THEOREM 3. *Let $f_1, \dots, f_m$ be algebraically independent invariant polynomials of a representation $\rho : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{gl}(V)$ and $\deg f_1 \leq \dots \leq \deg f_m$. Let $\eta_1(\rho) \leq \dots \leq \eta_q(\rho)$ be minimal row indices of ρ . Then*

$$\deg f_{\alpha} \geq \eta_{\alpha} + 1 \quad (15)$$

for $\alpha = 1, \dots, m \leq q$.

This theorem immediately implies

COROLLARY 5. Suppose that there exist algebraically independent invariant polynomials $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_q$, $q = \text{codim } \mathcal{O}_{\text{reg}}$, of a representation ρ satisfying the condition

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^q \deg f_\alpha = \mathbf{k}_{\text{vert}}(\rho) = \text{tr.deg. } \mathcal{F}_a$$

Then

$$\eta_\alpha(\rho) = \deg f_\alpha - 1.$$

Proof. The statement of the theorem is a straightforward corollary of Proposition 3 (reformulated for minimal row indices) and subsequent Remark 1. One only needs to expand each invariant polynomial, as we did already several times, into powers of λ :

$$f_\alpha(a + \lambda x) = f_{\alpha,0}(a) + \lambda f_{\alpha,1}(x) + \lambda^2 f_{\alpha,2}(x) + \dots + \lambda^{m_\alpha} f_{\alpha,m_\alpha}(x), \quad m_\alpha = \deg f_\alpha$$

and use the identity $(R_a + \lambda R_x)^* \sum_{j=0}^{m_\alpha} \lambda^j df_{\alpha,j} = 0$ (see Proposition 4).

Since the first term $f_{\alpha,0}(a)$ of this expansion is constant, it disappears after differentiation which makes it possible to divide the left hand side of this identity by λ :

$$(R_a + \lambda R_x)^* \sum_{j=0}^{m_\alpha-1} \lambda^j df_{\alpha,j+1} = 0,$$

Now Proposition 3 gives exactly the desired estimate (15). □

References

- [1] Bolsinov A.V. *Commutative families of functions related to consistent Poisson brackets*, Acta Appl. Math., 24 (1991), 253–274.
- [2] Bolsinov A.V. *Some remarks about Mishchenko-Fomenko subalgebras*, 2014, arXiv:1405.1710.
- [3] Bolsinov A.V., Izosimov A.M. *Singularities of bi-Hamiltonian systems*, Comm. Math. Phys. April 2014, (DOI) 10.1007/s00220-014-2048-3, see also arXiv:1203.3419.
- [4] Bolsinov A.V., Oshemkov A.A. *Bi-Hamiltonian structures and singularities of integrable systems*, Regular and Chaotic Dynamics, 14 (2009), no. 4–5, 325–348.
- [5] Bolsinov A.V., Zhang P. *Jordan-Kronecker invariants of finite-dimensional Lie algebras*, arXiv:1211.0579.
- [6] Bolsinov A.V., Zuev K.M. *A formal Frobenius theorem and argument shift*, Mathematical Notes 86 (2009), 10–18.
- [7] Elmroth E. *On the stratification of the Kronecker canonical form*, Report UMINF-95.14, Department of Computing Science, Umea University, S-901 87 Umea. Sweden, May, 1995.
- [8] Gantmacher F., *Theory of matrices*, AMS Chelsea publishing, 1959.

- [9] Joseph A., Shafrir D. *Polynomiality of invariants, unimodularity and adapted pairs*, Transformation groups, 15 (2010), no. 4, 851–882.
- [10] Knop F., Littelmann P., *Der Grad erzeugender Funktionen von Invariantenringen*, Math. Z. 196 (1987), no. 2, 221–229.
- [11] Mischenko A. S., Fomenko A. T. *Euler equations on finite-dimensional Lie groups*, Mathematics of the USSR-Izvestiya, 12 (1978), no. 2, 371–389.
- [12] Ooms A.I. *The Poisson center and polynomial, maximal Poisson commutative subalgebras, especially for nilpotent Lie algebras of dimension at most seven*, Journal of Algebra, 365 (2012), 83–113.
- [13] Panasyuk A. *Veronese webs for bi-Hamiltonian structures of higher rank*. Banach Center Publ., 51 (2000), 251–261.
- [14] Panyushev D. *On the coadjoint representation of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -contractions of reductive Lie algebras*, Adv. Math., 213 (2007), 380–404.
- [15] Panyushev D., Yakimova O.S. *The argument shift method and maximal commutative subalgebras of Poisson algebras*, Math. Res. Letters, 15 (2008), 239–249.
- [16] Thompson R. C. *Pencils of complex and real symmetric and skew matrices* Linear Algebra and its Applications (March 1991), 147, 323–371.
- [17] Turiel F.-J. *Classification locale simultanée de deux formes symplectiques compatibles* (French) [Simultaneous local classification of two compatible symplectic forms], Manuscripta Math. 82 (1994), no. 3–4, 349–I362.
- [18] Turiel F.-J. *Décomposition locale d’une structure bihamiltonienne en produit Kronecker–symplectique*. C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 349 (2011), no. 1–2, 85–87.
- [19] Vorontsov A. S. *Kronecker indices of the Lie algebra and an estimate for the degrees of invariants*, (Russian) Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Mekh. 2011, no. 1, 26–30; translation in Moscow Univ. Math. Bull. 66 (2011), no. 1, 25–29.
- [20] Zakharevich I. *Kronecker webs, bihamiltonian structures, and the method of argument translation*, Transformation Groups. 6 (2001), no. 3, 267–300.